

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/683,706 | CURRY ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | VAN H. NGUYEN | 2194 | |

All Participants:

(1) VAN H. NGUYEN

(2) Michael Dryja (Reg. No. 39,662)

Date of Interview: 1 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-11

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorizes Examiner to amend the claims as presented in the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/VAN H NGUYEN/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

Status of Application: now allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)